

COURTS IN MOHAVE COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2016-2018 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Expand community outreach efforts by using kiosks for various functions, creating service videos to explain court processes, and enhancing public website to focus on frequently used services.
- Expand integration with justice partners; enable increased public access to court records.
- Improve case management practices and reduce disposition transfer times, make use of automated performance measures.
- Pursue an automated workflow solution and provide more e-payment options to address resource reductions.
- Work with county staff on integrating technology into Kingman facilities improvements.
- Continue to document formal business continuity/disaster recovery strategy.
- Implement local portions of statewide projects including remote court interpreters, e-Filing, e-Bench, e-Access, LJ CMS, and ADRS.

CY 2013/14 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Completed expansion and build out of new courtroom and chambers in Lake Havasu City.
- Enabled in-house staff to manage jury merge/purge process without vendor intervention.
- Implemented EDMS/ disconnected scanning in all limited jurisdiction courts.
- Redesigned public website; enhanced intranet site to add forms and services for court employees; completed JA procedure manual.
- Changed out e-payment vendor/system for all limited jurisdiction courts.
- Enhanced court security by expanding coverage of digital video.
- Started rural kiosk project that allows members of the public from Beaver Dam / Colorado City to connect with court staff.
- Worked with NCSC on a new 5-year plan; developed 5 strategic pillars.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS JOLTSaz

No impacts or concerns provided; willing to be early adopters.
Anxious to obtain increased efficiencies and new reports; will be early adopter.

LJ EDMS e-Filing/Std Forms

All courts in the county have already implemented.
Anxious to start e-filing; willing to be early adopter, .but no DTM subscription yet in place for e-Access

Bench Auto Architecture Risk

High local interest in the project; will be an early adopter.
Largely addressed architecture issues with servers and infrastructure.
Perform local app development with numerous bolt-on applications to statewide resources.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Justice Integration	FY>19		X		Kingman Justice
Expand Service Videos	FY>19		X		All courts; for public
Improve Caseflow Management	FY16		X		Using CourTools & CMS
Staff Productivity Enhancement	FY>19		X		All Mohave courts
Improve Court Facilities	FY>19		X		Kingman Superior Court facility
Staff Training	FY>19		X		Training videos for staff on court processes / procedures
Business Continuity Planning	FY16		X		All courts
Jury Kiosks & Payment	FY16		X		Requires JWI enhancement by AOC
CourtWeb Enhancements	FY>19		X		All courts; enhance employee morale
Expand Remote Interpreter Systems	FY16		X		Videoconference
e-Bench	FY16	X			Early adopters
e-Filing	FY16	X			Join statewide project
Remote Connectivity to Field Officers	FY17		X		Probation
Provide e-Payment Options	FY16		X		All courts; awaiting statewide approach

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Acquire a Workflow Program	FY16		X		Must work with AJACS
Expand Public Access to Docs	FY16		X		For all case types, part of statewide approach
Local Collections Tracking	FY16		X		LJ courts pre-adjudication notices
Decrease Disposition Transfer Time	FY16		X		All LJ courts to MVD; on hold
Expand Video Sentencing	FY16		X		Remaining limited jurisdiction courts

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.